



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2019

All-sky search for long-duration gravitational-wave transients in the second Advanced LIGO observing run

LIGO Scientific Collaboration ; Virgo Collaboration ; et al ; Tiwari, Shubhanshu ; Haney, Maria ;
Boetzel, Yannick

Abstract: We present the results of a search for long-duration gravitational-wave transients in the data from the Advanced LIGO second observation run; we search for gravitational-wave transients of 2–500 s duration in the 24–2048 Hz frequency band with minimal assumptions about signal properties such as waveform morphologies, polarization, sky location or time of occurrence. Signal families covered by these search algorithms include fallback accretion onto neutron stars, broadband chirps from innermost stable circular orbit waves around rotating black holes, eccentric inspiral-merger-ringdown compact binary coalescence waveforms, and other models. The second observation run totals about 118.3 days of coincident data between November 2016 and August 2017. We find no significant events within the parameter space that we searched, apart from the already-reported binary neutron star merger GW170817. We thus report sensitivity limits on the root-sum-square strain amplitude h_{rss} at 50% efficiency. These sensitivity estimates are an improvement relative to the first observing run and also done with an enlarged set of gravitational-wave transient waveforms. Overall, the best search sensitivity is $h_{50\% \text{rss}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-22} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$ for a millisecond magnetar model. For eccentric compact binary coalescence signals, the search sensitivity reaches $h_{50\% \text{rss}} = 9.6 \times 10^{-22} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.99.104033>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-179301>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

LIGO Scientific Collaboration; Virgo Collaboration; et al; Tiwari, Shubhanshu; Haney, Maria; Boetzel, Yannick (2019). All-sky search for long-duration gravitational-wave transients in the second Advanced LIGO observing run. *Physical review D*, 99(10):104033.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevd.99.104033>

All-sky search for long-duration gravitational-wave transients in the second Advanced LIGO observing run

B. P. Abbott *et al.**

(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration)



(Received 28 March 2019; published 14 May 2019)

We present the results of a search for long-duration gravitational-wave transients in the data from the Advanced LIGO second observation run; we search for gravitational-wave transients of 2–500 s duration in the 24–2048 Hz frequency band with minimal assumptions about signal properties such as waveform morphologies, polarization, sky location or time of occurrence. Signal families covered by these search algorithms include fallback accretion onto neutron stars, broadband chirps from innermost stable circular orbit waves around rotating black holes, eccentric inspiral-merger-ringdown compact binary coalescence waveforms, and other models. The second observation run totals about 118.3 days of coincident data between November 2016 and August 2017. We find no significant events within the parameter space that we searched, apart from the already-reported binary neutron star merger GW170817. We thus report sensitivity limits on the root-sum-square strain amplitude h_{rss} at 50% efficiency. These sensitivity estimates are an improvement relative to the first observing run and also done with an enlarged set of gravitational-wave transient waveforms. Overall, the best search sensitivity is $h_{\text{rss}}^{50\%} = 2.7 \times 10^{-22} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$ for a millisecond magnetar model. For eccentric compact binary coalescence signals, the search sensitivity reaches $h_{\text{rss}}^{50\%} = 9.6 \times 10^{-22} \text{ Hz}^{-1/2}$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.99.104033](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.104033)

I. INTRODUCTION

The second observation run of the Advanced LIGO [1] and Advanced Virgo [2] detectors ushered in the era of multimessenger astronomy. In addition to the detection of further binary black hole systems [3–5], the first binary neutron star system GW170817 [6], associated with GRB 170817A [7] and corresponding electromagnetic radiation AT 2017gfo [8], was jointly detected. This led to searches for a post-merger signal from the binary neutron star event, including on the timescales presented in this paper [9,10]. In this paper, we update the results of the unmodeled long-duration transient search from the first Advanced LIGO observing run [11] with the data from the second observing run.

We use four pipelines, described below, with different responses across the parameter space, providing complementary coverage of the signal models we are interested in. The search was motivated by a wide range of poorly understood astrophysical phenomena for which predictive models are not readily available; these include fallback accretion, accretion disk instabilities and nonaxisymmetric deformations in magnetars. Fallback accretion of ejected mass in newborn neutron stars can lead to deformation, causing the emission of gravitational waves until the star collapses into a black hole [12–14]. Accretion disk instabilities and fragmentation can cause stellar material to spiral

in a black hole, emitting relatively long-lived gravitational waves [15–17]. Nonaxisymmetric deformations in magnetars, proposed as progenitors of long and short gamma-ray bursts [18,19], can also emit gravitational waves [20]. Moreover, we introduce new waveform families based on astrophysical phenomena such as fallback accretion down to the innermost stable circular orbit of a rapidly rotating black hole [21], highly eccentric binary black hole coalescences [22], and gamma-ray burst and x-ray events [20].

Although this analysis targets sources for which the gravitational waveform is not well described, it is possible for the long-duration searches to detect low-mass compact binary coalescences, typically searched for with matched filtering techniques. As discussed in other publications [6], the data containing the gravitational-wave signal resulting from GW170817 are corrupted by the presence of a short-duration (less than 5 ms), powerful transient noise event in one of the detectors [6]. Using a dataset where this short transient has been subtracted from the LIGO-Livingston data stream, the GW170817 signal is the most significant event of the search. As the searches reported in this paper do not add significantly to the many other studies carried out for this event [6,10,23,24], it has been decided to keep the original dataset, veto the large transient noise and focus on any other long-duration gravitational-wave signals.

The paper is organized as follows. We describe the data used in the analysis in Sec. II. The algorithms used to analyze the data are outlined in Sec. III. The results of the

*Full author list given at end of the article.

analysis and their implications are discussed in Sec. IV. Section V provides our conclusions and avenues for future research.

II. DATA

The second observation run lasted from November 25, 2016 to August 25, 2017. Between the first and second observing runs, a series of fixes and upgrades of the two LIGO detectors in Hanford, Washington and Livingston, Louisiana, allowed the run to begin with LIGO detectors' sensitivity reaching a binary neutron star range of ~ 80 Mpc—please see [25] for a discussion of the range metric. Thanks to commissioning break periods, Livingston's sensitivity increased steadily during the second observation run, finally reaching 100 Mpc. LIGO Hanford suffered from a 5.8 magnitude earthquake in Montana on July 6, 2017, which induced a 10 Mpc drop in sensitivity, and this was not recovered during the science run. On August 1, the Virgo detector joined the run with a binary neutron star range of 26 Mpc. It has been shown that adding the one-month Virgo dataset does not improve the search sensitivity mainly because of the sensitivity difference between the detectors. We thus report the results of a two LIGO detector coincident search. The overlap in time when both detectors are taking in data suitable for analysis was approximately 118.3 days. The effective coincident time analyzed by each pipeline depends on the data segmentation choice and lies in the range 114.7 to 118.3 days.

Coincident data contains a large number of non-Gaussian transient noise events (glitches) of instrumental or environmental origin that mimic the characteristic of the targeted signals. For the first time, well-identified sources of noise have been subtracted from the LIGO data [26]. Yet, some glitches, typically lasting from a few milliseconds up to few seconds and varying widely in frequency, remain. Their presence, even the very short ones, may negatively impact the sensitivity of the searches [27]. Time varying spectral lines are also a source of noise events for the long-duration transient searches. To veto these transient noise events, each pipeline implements specific glitch rejection criteria; because the search targets long-duration signals, short-duration glitches, which are usually the most problematic sources of noise, are easily suppressed. The next section provides more details about the noise rejection procedures that also may include data quality vetoes based on correlations with auxiliary channels [28,29].

III. SEARCHES

As in the previous analysis, we use four pipelines to search for transients that last between 2–500 s and span a frequency band of 24–2048 Hz. The use of multiple pipelines provides redundancy, and due to the differences in the clustering algorithms, leads to different sensitivities to different waveform morphologies or parts of the parameter space. Unmodeled searches for gravitational waves

typically cast the analysis as pattern recognition problems. Gravitational-wave time series are Fourier transformed in chunks of time, and spectrograms are created based on statistics derived from these Fourier transforms. Then pattern recognition algorithms are used to search for patterns, corresponding to gravitational waves, within spectrograms. In general, these consist of two classes. The first is seed based [30,31], where thresholds are placed on pixel values in the spectrograms and pixels above this threshold are clustered together. The second is seedless [32,33], where tracks are constructed from a generic model and integrated across the spectrograms; in this analysis, we use Bézier curves [32–36].

The pipelines used are the long-duration configuration of Coherent WaveBurst (cWB) [37], two different versions of the Stochastic Transient Analysis Multi-detector Pipeline—all sky (STAMP-AS) [31,36], and the X-pipeline Spherical Radiometer (X-SphRad) [38]. These pipelines are the same, or slightly updated versions, of those used in the search for long-duration transients in the first observation run and fully described in [39]. cWB is based on a maximum-likelihood-ratio statistic, built as a sum of excess power coherent between multiple detectors in the time-frequency representation of the interferometer responses [37]. The search is performed in the frequency range 24–2048 Hz, on data where all poor quality periods have been discarded. The trigger events surviving the selection criteria to reject glitches are ranked according to their detection statistic η_c , which is related to the coherent signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The selection criteria require the coherence coefficient c_c to be larger than 0.6, and the weighted duration of the candidate to be larger than 1.5 s. The first measures the degree of correlation between the detectors, while the latter measures the duration weighted by the excess power amplitude of the pixel on the time-frequency likelihood map. The trigger events are then divided into two samples according to their estimated mean frequency: 24–200 Hz and 200–2048 Hz. This allows for the isolation of the unexpected higher rate of glitches at low frequency during the first half of the O2 observation run. STAMP-AS uses the cross-correlation of data from two detectors to create coherent time-frequency maps of cross-power SNR with a pixel size of $1 \text{ s} \times 1 \text{ Hz}$ covering 24–2000 Hz in combination with a seed-based (Zebagard) and seedless (Lonetrack) clustering algorithm. Significant spectral features, including wandering lines, are masked in the creation of the spectrograms. As in the search during the first observing run, Zebagard eliminates the short duration glitches by requesting that the fraction of SNR in each time bin be smaller than 0.5 and that the SNR ratio between the two detectors be smaller than 3. The X-SphRad uses an X-pipeline [40] back end in combination with a fast cross-correlator in the spherical harmonic domain [41] to search for gravitational-wave transients in the 24–1000 Hz frequency range. The method allows for the data to be

processed independently of sky position and avoids redundant computations. A next-nearest-neighbor clustering algorithm is applied on a time-frequency representation of the data with a resolution of $1 \text{ s} \times 1 \text{ Hz}$ to form trigger events, which are then ranked by the ratio of the sum of power in all the $l > 0$ spherical harmonic modes to that in the $l = 0$ mode. Significant spectral features such as standing power lines are removed using a zero-phase linear predictor filter that estimates the power spectrum and whitens the data [42]. Finally, X-SphRad eliminates triggers that coincide with poor quality data periods that have been identified using auxiliary channels. These periods are excluded from the analysis time by cWB, and STAMP-AS Zebragard analysis selects a subset of them according to a procedure described in [43].

The false alarm rate of each search is estimated as a function of the pipeline’s ranking statistic. Each uses the data to perform this estimate, as opposed to a Gaussian approximation, because of the significant non-Gaussianity of the data, transient noise, and the nonstationarity of some of the spectral features. These glitches have a variety of causes, both environmentally driven such as from seismic events [44,45] or magnetic fields [46,47], and instrumental effects, such as test mass suspension glitches [48] and other sources of spectral features [49]. For all of the pipelines in this analysis, the correlation of data in different detectors is used to exclude data transients which are unlikely to be of astrophysical origin. To estimate the background for all pipelines used in this analysis, the time-slide methodology is applied [50,51], each one implementing its own version. The fundamental idea is to shift the detector data with nonphysical relative time delays to eliminate any correlation from gravitational waves and reanalyze the data. The procedure is repeated until a total of 50 years of coincident detector time has been analyzed, allowing us to estimate false alarm rates at the level of 1 event in 50 years.

IV. RESULTS

None of the pipelines finds a significant excess of coincident events. The most significant events found by each pipeline are reported in Table I. Their false alarm rate is in agreement with the expected background estimation. Given the absence of a detection, we can derive upper limits on long-duration gravitational-wave transients’ strain amplitude. A usual measure of gravitational-wave amplitude is the root-sum-square strain amplitude at the Earth, h_{rss} ,

$$h_{\text{rss}} = \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (h_+^2(t) + h_\times^2(t)) dt}, \quad (1)$$

where h_+ and h_\times are signal polarizations at Earth’s center expressed in the source frame. We can relate this quantity to the gravitational-wave energy radiated by a source emitting isotropically at a given central frequency f_0 [52],

TABLE I. Properties of the most significant coincident triggers found by each of the long-duration transient search pipelines during the second observation run. FAR stands for false alarm rate, while the p-value is the probability of observing at least 1 noise trigger at higher significance than the most significant coincident trigger.

Pipeline	FAR (Hz)	p-value	Frequency (Hz)	Duration (s)
cWB	1.4×10^{-7}	0.75	53–69	11
Zebragard	2.5×10^{-7}	0.92	1649–1753	29
Lonetrack	7.9×10^{-8}	0.80	608–1344	463
X-SphRad	9.7×10^{-8}	0.60	435–443	3

$$E_{\text{gw}}^{\text{iso}} = \frac{\pi c^3}{G} \mathcal{D}^2 \int df f^2 (|\tilde{h}_+(f)|^2 + |\tilde{h}_\times(f)|^2) \approx \frac{\pi^2 c^3}{G} \mathcal{D}^2 f_0^2 h_{\text{rss}}^2, \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{D} is the distance to the source and \tilde{h} indicates a Fourier transform. To estimate the h_{rss} at 50% detection efficiency, we add simulated waveforms coherently to detector data, uniformly distributed in time and over sky locations. The waveform polarization angle and the cosine of the inclination are also varied uniformly. Waveforms are generated at a variety of distances (or equivalently h_{rss}) such that the 50% detection efficiency is well measured. The events reconstructed are then “detected” if their false alarm rate is lower than the chosen value of $1/50$ years.

We use 13 families of simulated gravitational-wave signals to estimate the sensitivity of each pipeline. The waveform families include a variety of astrophysically motivated waveforms and *ad hoc* waveform models. For the astrophysical models, we include fallback accretion onto neutron stars (FA) [14], broadband chirps from innermost stable circular orbit waves around rotating black holes (ISCOchirp) [21], inspiral-only compact binary coalescence waveforms up to second post-Newtonian order [53] (CBC), eccentric inspiral-merger-ringdown compact binary coalescence waveforms (ECBC) [22], secular bar-mode instabilities in postmerger remnants [12,20], newly formed magnetars powering a gamma-ray burst plateau (GRBplateau) [20], black hole accretion disk instabilities (ADI) [16], postmerger magnetars (magnetar) [54], and neutron star spin down waveforms (MSmagnetar) [55,56]. For the *ad hoc* waveforms, we include monochromatic waveforms (MONO), waveforms with a linear (LINE) or quadratic (QUAD) frequency evolution, white noise band-limited (WNB) and sine-Gaussian bursts (SG). The waveforms are designed to span a range of astrophysical models, as well as a wide duration and frequency parameter space to test the response of the algorithms across the parameter space. Figure 1 shows the coverage of a representative sample of the simulation set in the time-frequency space. The frequency band 10–300 Hz is well covered with the




FIG. 1. Time-frequency representations of a few model signals used in the search, showing a mix of chirp-up (FA, ECBC) and chirp-down (Magnetar, ADI) astrophysical waveforms as well as a linearly decreasing *ad hoc* waveform (LINE). Descriptions of these waveforms and others are given in Sec. IV. The harmonics of ECBC are also visible. The full set of waveforms (~ 70) chosen for this analysis fully covers the search frequency band of 24–2000 Hz. The waveforms are shifted in time to show how they cover the parameter space in this axis as well.

GRBplateau and ADI families. Astrophysical waveform families such as ISCOchirp and magnetar are characterized by a wide frequency coverage and populate the higher frequency band 700–2000 Hz. *Ad hoc* waveform families such as MONO, LINE, QUAD, WNB and SG span a wide frequency range and cover the band 50–800 Hz, filling in any potential gap in coverage from the other models.

In Fig. 2, we show the best results among all pipelines for almost all waveforms. We also compute the 90% confidence level limit on the rate of long-duration gravitational-wave transients assuming a Poissonian distribution of sources. To do so, we use the loudest event statistic method [57]. We fold in the systematic uncertainty that arises from the strain amplitude calibration, which is 7% in amplitude and





FIG. 3. Upper limits (marginalizing over the second observation run amplitude calibration errors) on eccentric compact binary coalescences as a function of the distance at a 90% confidence level considering the best results for each waveform. The inset shows the distance at 50% detection efficiency for the pipelines in this analysis for comparison. ECBC_A, ECBC_B, and ECBC_C are 1.4–1.4 solar mass binaries with eccentricities of 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 respectively, while ECBC_D, ECBC_E, and ECBC_F are 3.0–3.0 solar mass binaries with eccentricities of 0.2, 0.4, and 0.6 respectively, where the masses are quoted in the detector frame.

3 degrees in phase, a conservative number used for both instruments in the frequency band analyzed here [58].

Figure 3 shows the rate as a function of distance for the eccentric compact binary coalescence signals considered in this analysis. For a 1.4–1.4 solar mass binary with an eccentricity of 0.4, the 50% efficiency distance is 30 Mpc.




FIG. 2. Upper limits on gravitational-wave strain vs frequency for sources detected with 50% efficiency and a false alarm rate of 1 event in 50 years. The lowest value among all four pipelines is represented on the plots. The left figure shows the *ad hoc* waveforms’ results while the “physical” waveforms are represented on the right. The average amplitude spectral density curves for both Hanford and Livingston are also shown.

For comparison, this is more than a factor 2 lower than what matched filter searches could reach for 1.4–1.4 solar mass binaries with no eccentricity during the second observation run [6]. Due to the improved sensitivity and greater duration of the second observation run above and beyond the first observation run, the rate limits for models used in previous analyses improved by a factor of $\sim 30\%$. The detection distances vary significantly from one signal to another. For example, the ADI waveforms have distance limits of tens of megaparsecs, while the magnetar waveforms have limits of tens of kiloparsecs. The difference in ranges is due mainly to the energy budget of the system, but also due to the overall signal morphologies, which can be more or less difficult for the pipeline clustering techniques to recover entirely.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have performed an all-sky search for unmodeled long-duration gravitational-wave transients in the second observing run. This search did not lead to the detection of any new gravitational waves. In addition to the intrinsic gain due to detectors' sensitivity improvement and the length of the observing run, we have increased significantly the number of waveforms used to estimate the pipelines' sensitivity. The theoretical uncertainties of the models used are rather large, including the mechanisms, their amplitudes, and their potential rates, although it is likely we are sensitive to relatively small amplitude emissions within the Local Group.

With the recent arrival of Advanced Virgo to the advanced gravitational-wave detector network, its future improvements will merit its inclusion in analyses in the next observing runs. Overall, the expectation is that the design sensitivities for the gravitational-wave networks will yield gains of up to a factor of 10, depending on the frequency range considered [25].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the United States National Science Foundation (NSF) for the construction and operation of the LIGO Laboratory and Advanced LIGO as well as the Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) of the United Kingdom, the Max-Planck-Society (MPS), and the State of Niedersachsen/Germany for support of the construction of Advanced LIGO and construction and operation of the

GEO600 detector. Additional support for Advanced LIGO was provided by the Australian Research Council. The authors gratefully acknowledge the Italian Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research, for the construction and operation of the Virgo detector and the creation and support of the EGO consortium. The authors also gratefully acknowledge research support from these agencies as well as by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research of India, the Department of Science and Technology, India, the Science & Engineering Research Board (SERB), India, the Ministry of Human Resource Development, India, the Spanish Agencia Estatal de Investigación, the Vicepresidència i Conselleria d'Innovació, Recerca i Turisme and the Conselleria d'Educació i Universitat del Govern de les Illes Balears, the Conselleria d'Educació, Investigació, Cultura i Esport de la Generalitat Valenciana, the National Science Centre of Poland, the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF), the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, the Russian Science Foundation, the European Commission, the European Regional Development Funds (ERDF), the Royal Society, the Scottish Funding Council, the Scottish Universities Physics Alliance, the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA), the Lyon Institute of Origins (LIO), the National Research, Development and Innovation Office Hungary (NKFI), the National Research Foundation of Korea, Industry Canada and the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation, the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council Canada, the Canadian Institute for Advanced Research, the Brazilian Ministry of Science, Technology, Innovations, and Communications, the International Center for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Research (ICTP-SAIFR), the Research Grants Council of Hong Kong, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Leverhulme Trust, the Research Corporation, the Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Taiwan and the Kavli Foundation. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the NSF, STFC, MPS, INFN, CNRS and the State of Niedersachsen/Germany for provision of computational resources.

-
- [1] J. Aasi *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **32**, 115012 (2015).
 - [2] F. Acernese *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **32**, 074001 (2015).

- [3] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 221101 (2017).
- [4] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 141101 (2017).
- [5] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Astrophys. J.* **851**, L35 (2017).

- [6] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 161101 (2017).
 [7] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **848**, L13 (2017).
 [8] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **848**, L12 (2017).
 [9] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott *et al.*, *arXiv:1810.02581*.
 [10] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **851**, L16 (2017).
 [11] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **35**, 065009 (2018).
 [12] D. Lai and S. L. Shapiro, *Astrophys. J.* **442**, 259 (1995).
 [13] A. Piro and C. D. Ott, *Astrophys. J.* **736**, 108 (2011).
 [14] A. Piro and E. Thrane, *Astrophys. J.* **761**, 63 (2012).
 [15] A. Piro and E. Pfahl, *Astrophys. J.* **658**, 1173 (2007).
 [16] M. H. P. M. van Putten, *Astrophys. J.* **87**, 091101 (2001).
 [17] M. H. P. M. van Putten, *Astrophys. J.* **684**, L91 (2008).
 [18] B. Metzger, D. Giannios, T. A. Thompson, N. Bucciantini, and E. Quataert, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **413**, 2031 (2011).
 [19] A. Rowlinson, P. O'Brien, B. Metzger, N. Tanvir, and A. Levan, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **430**, 1061 (2013).
 [20] A. Corsi and P. Mészáros, *Astrophys. J.* **702**, 1171 (2009).
 [21] M. H. P. M. van Putten, *Astrophys. J.* **819**, 169 (2016).
 [22] E. Huerta *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 024031 (2018).
 [23] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 011001 (2019).
 [24] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 161101 (2018).
 [25] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Living Rev. Relativity* **21**, 3 (2018).
 [26] D. Davis, T. J. Massinger, A. P. Lundgren, J. C. Driggers, A. L. Urban, and L. Nuttall, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **36**, 055011 (2019).
 [27] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **35**, 065010 (2018).
 [28] J. Aasi *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **29**, 155002 (2012).
 [29] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **33**, 134001 (2016).
 [30] R. Khan and S. Chatterji, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **26**, 155009 (2009).
 [31] T. Prestegard, Thesis, University of Minnesota, 2016.
 [32] E. Thrane and M. Coughlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 083010 (2013).
 [33] E. Thrane and M. Coughlin, *Phys. Rev. D* **89**, 063012 (2014).
 [34] M. Coughlin, P. Meyers, S. Kandhasamy, E. Thrane, and N. Christensen, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 043007 (2015).
 [35] G. Farin, *Curves and Surfaces for CAGD, Fourth Edition: A Practical Guide* (Academic Press, New York, 1996).
 [36] E. Thrane and M. Coughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 181102 (2015).
 [37] S. Klimenko, G. Vedovato, M. Drago *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 042004 (2016).
 [38] M. Fays, Thesis, Cardiff University, 2017.
 [39] B. P. Abbott *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 042003 (2017).
 [40] P. Sutton, G. Jones, S. Chatterji *et al.*, *New J. Phys.* **12**, 053034 (2010).
 [41] K. C. Cannon, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 123003 (2007).
 [42] S. K. Chatterji, Thesis, MIT, 2005, <http://dspace.mit.edu/handle/1721.1/34388>.
 [43] V. Frey, Thesis, Paris Saclay University, 2018.
 [44] M. Coughlin, P. Earle, J. Harms *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **34**, 044004 (2017).
 [45] D. Macleod, S. Fairhurst, B. Hughey, A. P. Lundgren, L. Pekowsky, J. Rollins, and J. R. Smith, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **29**, 055006 (2012).
 [46] M. Coughlin, N. Christensen, R. De Rosa *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **33**, 224003 (2016).
 [47] I. Kowalska-Leszczynska, M. A. Bizouard, T. Bulik *et al.*, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **34**, 074002 (2017).
 [48] M. Walker *et al.*, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **88**, 124501 (2017).
 [49] M. Coughlin, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **243**, 012010 (2010).
 [50] M. Was, M. A. Bizouard, V. Brisson, F. Cavalier, M. Davier, P. Hello, N. Leroy, F. Robinet, and M. Vavoulidis, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **27**, 015005 (2010).
 [51] M. Was, M. A. Bizouard, V. Brisson, F. Cavalier, M. Davier, P. Hello, N. Leroy, F. Robinet, and M. Vavoulidis, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **27**, 194014 (2010).
 [52] P. Sutton, *arXiv:1304.0210*.
 [53] L. Blanchet, B. R. Iyer, C. M. Will, and A. G. Wiseman, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **13**, 575 (1996).
 [54] S. Dall'Osso, B. Giacomazzo, R. Perna, and L. Stella, *Astrophys. J.* **798**, 25 (2015).
 [55] P. Lasky, C. Leris, A. Rowlinson, and K. Glampedakis, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **843**, L1 (2017).
 [56] N. Sarin, P. D. Lasky, L. Sammut, and G. Ashton, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 043011 (2018).
 [57] P. R. Brady, J. D. E. Creighton, and A. G. Wiseman, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **21**, S1775 (2004).
 [58] C. Cahillane, J. Betzwieser, D. A. Brown *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 102001 (2017).

B. P. Abbott,¹ R. Abbott,¹ T. D. Abbott,² S. Abraham,³ F. Acernese,^{4,5} K. Ackley,⁶ C. Adams,⁷ R. X. Adhikari,¹ V. B. Adya,⁸ C. Affeldt,^{9,10} M. Agathos,^{11,12} K. Agatsuma,¹³ N. Aggarwal,¹⁴ O. D. Aguiar,¹⁵ L. Aiello,^{16,17} A. Ain,³ P. Ajith,¹⁸ G. Allen,¹⁹ A. Allocca,^{20,21} M. A. Aloy,²² P. A. Altin,⁸ A. Amato,²³ S. Anand,¹ A. Ananyeva,¹ S. B. Anderson,¹ W. G. Anderson,²⁴ S. V. Angelova,²⁵ S. Antier,²⁶ S. Appert,¹ K. Arai,¹ M. C. Araya,¹ J. S. Areeda,²⁷ M. Arène,²⁶ N. Arnaud,^{28,29} S. M. Aronson,³⁰ S. Ascenzi,^{16,31} G. Ashton,⁶ S. M. Aston,⁷ P. Astone,³² F. Aubin,³³ P. Aufmuth,¹⁰ K. AultONeal,³⁴ C. Austin,² V. Avendano,³⁵ A. Avila-Alvarez,²⁷ S. Babak,²⁶ P. Bacon,²⁶ F. Badaracco,^{16,17} M. K. M. Bader,³⁶ S. Bae,³⁷ J. Baird,²⁶ P. T. Baker,³⁸ F. Baldaccini,^{39,40} G. Ballardin,²⁹ S. W. Ballmer,⁴¹ A. Bals,³⁴ S. Banagiri,⁴² J. C. Barayoga,¹ C. Barbieri,^{43,44} S. E. Barclay,⁴⁵ B. C. Barish,¹ D. Barker,⁴⁶ K. Barkett,⁴⁷ S. Barnum,¹⁴ F. Barone,^{48,5} B. Barr,⁴⁵ L. Barsotti,¹⁴ M. Barsuglia,²⁶ D. Barta,⁴⁹ J. Bartlett,⁴⁶ I. Bartos,³⁰ R. Bassiri,⁵⁰ A. Basti,^{20,21} M. Bawaj,^{51,40} J. C. Bayley,⁴⁵ M. Bazzan,^{52,53} B. Bécsy,⁵⁴ M. Bejger,^{26,55} I. Belahcene,²⁸ A. S. Bell,⁴⁵ D. Beniwal,⁵⁶ M. G. Benjamin,³⁴ B. K. Berger,⁵⁰ G. Bergmann,^{9,10} S. Bernuzzi,¹¹ C. P. L. Berry,⁵⁷ D. Bersanetti,⁵⁸ A. Bertolini,³⁶ J. Betzwieser,⁷ R. Bhandare,⁵⁹ J. Bidler,²⁷ E. Biggs,²⁴

- I. A. Bilenko,⁶⁰ S. A. Bilgili,³⁸ G. Billingsley,¹ R. Birney,²⁵ O. Birnholtz,⁶¹ S. Biscans,^{1,14} M. Bischì,^{62,63} S. Biscoveanu,¹⁴ A. Bisht,¹⁰ M. Bitossi,^{29,21} M. A. Bizouard,⁶⁴ J. K. Blackburn,¹ J. Blackman,⁴⁷ C. D. Blair,⁷ D. G. Blair,⁶⁵ R. M. Blair,⁴⁶ S. Bloemen,⁶⁶ F. Bobba,^{67,68} N. Bode,^{9,10} M. Boer,⁶⁴ Y. Boetzel,⁶⁹ G. Bogaert,⁶⁴ F. Bondu,⁷⁰ R. Bonnand,³³ P. Booker,^{9,10} B. A. Boom,³⁶ R. Bork,¹ V. Boschi,²⁹ S. Bose,³ V. Bossilkov,⁶⁵ J. Bosveld,⁶⁵ Y. Bouffanais,^{52,53} A. Bozzi,²⁹ C. Bradaschia,²¹ P. R. Brady,²⁴ A. Bramley,⁷ M. Branchesi,^{16,17} J. E. Brau,⁷¹ M. Breschi,¹¹ T. Briant,⁷² J. H. Briggs,⁴⁵ F. Brighenti,^{62,63} A. Brillet,⁶⁴ M. Brinkmann,^{9,10} P. Brockill,²⁴ A. F. Brooks,¹ J. Brooks,²⁹ D. D. Brown,⁵⁶ S. Brunett,¹ A. Buikema,¹⁴ T. Bulik,⁷³ H. J. Bulten,^{74,36} A. Buonanno,^{75,76} D. Buskulic,³³ C. Buy,²⁶ R. L. Byer,⁵⁰ M. Cabero,^{9,10} L. Cadonati,⁷⁷ G. Cagnoli,⁷⁸ C. Cahillane,¹ J. Calderón Bustillo,⁶ T. A. Callister,¹ E. Calloni,^{79,5} J. B. Camp,⁸⁰ W. A. Campbell,⁶ K. C. Cannon,⁸¹ H. Cao,⁵⁶ J. Cao,⁸² G. Carapella,^{67,68} F. Carbognani,²⁹ S. Caride,⁸³ M. F. Carney,⁵⁷ G. Carullo,^{20,21} J. Casanueva Diaz,²¹ C. Casentini,^{84,31} S. Caudill,³⁶ M. Cavaglià,^{85,86} F. Cavalier,²⁸ R. Cavalieri,²⁹ G. Cella,²¹ P. Cerdá-Durán,²² E. Cesarini,^{87,31} O. Chaibi,⁶⁴ K. Chakravarti,³ S. J. Chamberlin,⁸⁸ M. Chan,⁴⁵ S. Chao,⁸⁹ P. Charlton,⁹⁰ E. A. Chase,⁵⁷ E. Chassande-Mottin,²⁶ D. Chatterjee,²⁴ M. Chaturvedi,⁵⁹ B. D. Cheeseboro,³⁸ H. Y. Chen,⁹¹ X. Chen,⁶⁵ Y. Chen,⁴⁷ H.-P. Cheng,³⁰ C. K. Cheong,⁹² H. Y. Chia,³⁰ F. Chiadini,^{93,68} A. Chincarini,⁵⁸ A. Chiummo,²⁹ G. Cho,⁹⁴ H. S. Cho,⁹⁵ M. Cho,⁷⁶ N. Christensen,^{96,64} Q. Chu,⁶⁵ S. Chua,⁷² K. W. Chung,⁹² S. Chung,⁶⁵ G. Ciani,^{52,53} M. Cieřlar,⁵⁵ A. A. Ciobanu,⁵⁶ R. Ciolfi,^{97,53} F. Cipriano,⁶⁴ A. Cirone,^{98,58} F. Clara,⁴⁶ J. A. Clark,⁷⁷ P. Clearwater,⁹⁹ F. Cleva,⁶⁴ E. Coccia,^{16,17} P.-F. Cohadon,⁷² D. Cohen,²⁸ M. Colleoni,¹⁰⁰ C. G. Collette,¹⁰¹ C. Collins,¹³ M. Colpi,^{43,44} L. R. Cominsky,¹⁰² M. Constancio Jr.,¹⁵ L. Conti,⁵³ S. J. Cooper,¹³ P. Corban,⁷ T. R. Corbitt,² I. Cordero-Carrión,¹⁰³ S. Corezzi,^{39,40} K. R. Corley,¹⁰⁴ N. Cornish,⁵⁴ D. Corre,²⁸ A. Corsi,⁸³ S. Cortese,²⁹ C. A. Costa,¹⁵ R. Cotesta,⁷⁵ M. W. Coughlin,¹ S. B. Coughlin,^{105,57} J.-P. Coulon,⁶⁴ S. T. Countryman,¹⁰⁴ P. Couvares,¹ P. B. Covas,¹⁰⁰ E. E. Cowan,⁷⁷ D. M. Coward,⁶⁵ M. J. Cowart,⁷ D. C. Coyne,¹ R. Coyne,¹⁰⁶ J. D. E. Creighton,²⁴ T. D. Creighton,¹⁰⁷ J. Cripe,² M. Croquette,⁷² S. G. Crowder,¹⁰⁸ T. J. Cullen,² A. Cumming,⁴⁵ L. Cunningham,⁴⁵ E. Cuoco,²⁹ T. Dal Canton,⁸⁰ G. Dálya,¹⁰⁹ B. D'Angelo,^{98,58} S. L. Danilishin,^{9,10} S. D'Antonio,³¹ K. Danzmann,^{10,9} A. Dasgupta,¹¹⁰ C. F. Da Silva Costa,³⁰ L. E. H. Dattier,⁴⁵ V. Dattilo,²⁹ I. Dave,⁵⁹ M. Davier,²⁸ D. Davis,⁴¹ E. J. Daw,¹¹¹ D. DeBra,⁵⁰ M. Deenadayalan,³ J. Degallaix,²³ M. De Laurentis,^{79,5} S. Deléglise,⁷² W. Del Pozzo,^{20,21} L. M. DeMarchi,⁵⁷ N. Demos,¹⁴ T. Dent,¹¹² R. De Pietri,^{113,114} R. De Rosa,^{79,5} C. De Rossi,^{23,29} R. DeSalvo,¹¹⁵ O. de Varona,^{9,10} S. Dhurandhar,³ M. C. Díaz,¹⁰⁷ T. Dietrich,³⁶ L. Di Fiore,⁵ C. DiFronzo,¹³ C. Di Giorgio,^{67,68} F. Di Giovanni,²² M. Di Giovanni,^{116,117} T. Di Girolamo,^{79,5} A. Di Lieto,^{20,21} B. Ding,¹⁰¹ S. Di Pace,^{118,32} I. Di Palma,^{118,32} F. Di Renzo,^{20,21} A. K. Divakarla,³⁰ A. Dmitriev,¹³ Z. Doctor,⁹¹ F. Donovan,¹⁴ K. L. Dooley,^{105,85} S. Doravari,³ I. Dorrington,¹⁰⁵ T. P. Downes,²⁴ M. Drago,^{16,17} J. C. Driggers,⁴⁶ Z. Du,⁸² J.-G. Ducoin,²⁸ P. Dupej,⁴⁵ O. Durante,^{67,68} S. E. Dwyer,⁴⁶ P. J. Easter,⁶ G. Eddolls,⁴⁵ T. B. Edo,¹¹¹ A. Effler,⁷ P. Ehrens,¹ J. Eichholz,⁸ S. S. Eikenberry,³⁰ M. Eisenmann,³³ R. A. Eisenstein,¹⁴ L. Errico,^{79,5} R. C. Essick,⁹¹ H. Estelles,¹⁰⁰ D. Estevez,³³ Z. B. Etienne,³⁸ T. Etzel,¹ M. Evans,¹⁴ T. M. Evans,⁷ V. Fafone,^{84,31,16} S. Fairhurst,¹⁰⁵ X. Fan,⁸² S. Farinon,⁵⁸ B. Farr,⁷¹ W. M. Farr,¹³ E. J. Fauchon-Jones,¹⁰⁵ M. Favata,³⁵ M. Fays,¹¹¹ M. Fazio,¹¹⁹ C. Fee,¹²⁰ J. Feicht,¹ M. M. Fejer,⁵⁰ F. Feng,²⁶ A. Fernandez-Galiana,¹⁴ I. Ferrante,^{20,21} E. C. Ferreira,¹⁵ T. A. Ferreira,¹⁵ F. Fidecaro,^{20,21} I. Fiori,²⁹ D. Fiorucci,^{16,17} M. Fishbach,⁹¹ R. P. Fisher,¹²¹ J. M. Fishner,¹⁴ R. Fittipaldi,^{122,68} M. Fitz-Axen,⁴² V. Fiumara,^{123,68} R. Flaminio,^{33,124} M. Fletcher,⁴⁵ E. Floden,⁴² E. Flynn,²⁷ H. Fong,⁸¹ J. A. Font,^{22,125} P. W. F. Forsyth,⁸ J.-D. Fournier,⁶⁴ Francisco Hernandez Vivanco,⁶ S. Frasca,^{118,32} F. Frasconi,²¹ Z. Frei,¹⁰⁹ A. Freise,¹³ R. Frey,⁷¹ V. Frey,²⁸ P. Fritschel,¹⁴ V. V. Frolov,⁷ G. Fronzè,¹²⁶ P. Fulda,³⁰ M. Fyffe,⁷ H. A. Gabbard,⁴⁵ B. U. Gadre,⁷⁵ S. M. Gaebel,¹³ J. R. Gair,¹²⁷ L. Gammaitoni,³⁹ S. G. Gaonkar,³ C. García-Quirós,¹⁰⁰ F. Garufi,^{79,5} B. Gateley,⁴⁶ S. Gaudio,³⁴ G. Gaur,¹²⁸ V. Gayathri,¹²⁹ G. Gemme,⁵⁸ E. Genin,²⁹ A. Gennai,²¹ D. George,¹⁹ J. George,⁵⁹ L. Gergely,¹³⁰ S. Ghonge,⁷⁷ Abhirup Ghosh,⁷⁵ Archisman Ghosh,³⁶ S. Ghosh,²⁴ B. Giacomazzo,^{116,117} J. A. Giaime,^{2,7} K. D. Giardina,⁷ D. R. Gibson,¹³¹ K. Gill,¹⁰⁴ L. Glover,¹³² J. Gniesmer,¹³³ P. Godwin,⁸⁸ E. Goetz,⁴⁶ R. Goetz,³⁰ B. Goncharov,⁶ G. González,² J. M. Gonzalez Castro,^{20,21} A. Gopakumar,¹³⁴ S. E. Gossan,¹ M. Gosselin,^{29,20,21} R. Gouaty,³³ B. Grace,⁸ A. Grado,^{135,5} M. Granata,²³ A. Grant,⁴⁵ S. Gras,¹⁴ P. Grassia,¹ C. Gray,⁴⁶ R. Gray,⁴⁵ G. Greco,^{62,63} A. C. Green,³⁰ R. Green,¹⁰⁵ E. M. Gretarsson,³⁴ A. Grimaldi,^{116,117} S. J. Grimm,^{16,17} P. Groot,⁶⁶ H. Grote,¹⁰⁵ S. Grunewald,⁷⁵ P. Gruning,²⁸ G. M. Guidi,^{62,63} H. K. Gulati,¹¹⁰ Y. Guo,³⁶ A. Gupta,⁸⁸ Anchal Gupta,¹ P. Gupta,³⁶ E. K. Gustafson,¹ R. Gustafson,¹³⁶ L. Haegel,¹⁰⁰ O. Halim,^{17,16} B. R. Hall,¹³⁷ E. D. Hall,¹⁴ E. Z. Hamilton,¹⁰⁵ G. Hammond,⁴⁵ M. Haney,⁶⁹ M. M. Hanke,^{9,10} J. Hanks,⁴⁶ C. Hanna,⁸⁸ O. A. Hannuksela,⁹² T. J. Hansen,³⁴ J. Hanson,⁷ T. Harder,⁶⁴ T. Hardwick,² K. Haris,¹⁸ J. Harms,^{16,17} G. M. Harry,¹³⁸ I. W. Harry,¹³⁹ R. K. Hasskew,⁷ C. J. Haster,¹⁴ K. Haughian,⁴⁵ F. J. Hayes,⁴⁵ J. Healy,⁶¹ A. Heidmann,⁷² M. C. Heintze,⁷ H. Heitmann,⁶⁴ F. Hellman,¹⁴⁰ P. Hello,²⁸ G. Hemming,²⁹ M. Hendry,⁴⁵ I. S. Heng,⁴⁵ J. Hennig,^{9,10} M. Heurs,^{9,10} S. Hild,⁴⁵

- T. Hinderer,^{141,36,142} S. Hochheim,^{9,10} D. Hofman,²³ A. M. Holgado,¹⁹ N. A. Holland,⁸ K. Holt,⁷ D. E. Holz,⁹¹ P. Hopkins,¹⁰⁵ C. Horst,²⁴ J. Hough,⁴⁵ E. J. Howell,⁶⁵ C. G. Hoy,¹⁰⁵ Y. Huang,¹⁴ M. T. Hübner,⁶ E. A. Huerta,¹⁹ D. Huet,²⁸ B. Hughey,³⁴ V. Hui,³³ S. Husa,¹⁰⁰ S. H. Huttner,⁴⁵ T. Huynh-Dinh,⁷ B. Idzkowski,⁷³ A. Iess,^{84,31} H. Inchauspe,³⁰ C. Ingram,⁵⁶ R. Inta,⁸³ G. Intini,^{118,32} B. Irwin,¹²⁰ H. N. Isa,⁴⁵ J.-M. Isac,⁷² M. Isi,¹⁴ B. R. Iyer,¹⁸ T. Jacqmin,⁷² S. J. Jadhav,¹⁴³ K. Jani,⁷⁷ N. N. Janthaler,¹⁴³ P. Jaranowski,¹⁴⁴ D. Jariwala,³⁰ A. C. Jenkins,¹⁴⁵ J. Jiang,³⁰ D. S. Johnson,¹⁹ A. W. Jones,¹³ D. I. Jones,¹⁴⁶ J. D. Jones,⁴⁶ R. Jones,⁴⁵ R. J. G. Jonker,³⁶ L. Ju,⁶⁵ J. Junker,^{9,10} C. V. Kalaghatgi,¹⁰⁵ V. Kalogera,⁵⁷ B. Kamai,¹ S. Kandhasamy,³ G. Kang,³⁷ J. B. Kanner,¹ S. J. Kapadia,²⁴ S. Karki,⁷¹ R. Kashyap,¹⁸ M. Kasprzack,¹ S. Katsanevas,²⁹ E. Katsavounidis,¹⁴ W. Katzman,⁷ S. Kaufer,¹⁰ K. Kawabe,⁴⁶ N. V. Keerthana,³ F. Kéfélian,⁶⁴ D. Keitel,¹³⁹ R. Kennedy,¹¹¹ J. S. Key,¹⁴⁷ F. Y. Khalili,⁶⁰ I. Khan,^{16,31} S. Khan,^{9,10} E. A. Khazanov,¹⁴⁸ N. Khetan,^{16,17} M. Khursheed,⁵⁹ N. Kijbunchoo,⁸ Chunglee Kim,¹⁴⁹ J. C. Kim,¹⁵⁰ K. Kim,⁹² W. Kim,⁵⁶ W. S. Kim,¹⁵¹ Y.-M. Kim,¹⁵² C. Kimball,⁵⁷ P. J. King,⁴⁶ M. Kinley-Hanlon,⁴⁵ R. Kirchhoff,^{9,10} J. S. Kissel,⁴⁶ L. Kleybolte,¹³³ J. H. Klika,²⁴ S. Klimenko,³⁰ T. D. Knowles,³⁸ P. Koch,^{9,10} S. M. Koehlenbeck,^{9,10} G. Koekoek,^{36,153} S. Koley,³⁶ V. Kondrashov,¹ A. Kontos,¹⁵⁴ N. Koper,^{9,10} M. Korobko,¹³³ W. Z. Korth,¹ M. Kovalam,⁶⁵ D. B. Kozak,¹ C. Krämer,^{9,10} V. Kringel,^{9,10} N. Krishnendu,¹⁵⁵ A. Królak,^{156,157} N. Krupinski,²⁴ G. Kuehn,^{9,10} A. Kumar,¹⁴³ P. Kumar,¹⁵⁸ Rahul Kumar,⁴⁶ Rakesh Kumar,¹¹⁰ L. Kuo,⁸⁹ A. Kutynia,¹⁵⁶ S. Kwang,²⁴ B. D. Lackey,⁷⁵ D. Laghi,^{20,21} K. H. Lai,⁹² T. L. Lam,⁹² M. Landry,⁴⁶ B. B. Lane,¹⁴ R. N. Lang,¹⁵⁹ J. Lange,⁶¹ B. Lantz,⁵⁰ R. K. Lanza,¹⁴ A. Lartaux-Vollard,²⁸ P. D. Lasky,⁶ M. Laxen,⁷ A. Lazzarini,¹ C. Lazzaro,⁵³ P. Leaci,^{118,32} S. Leavey,^{9,10} Y. K. Lecoecue,⁴⁶ C. H. Lee,⁹⁵ H. K. Lee,¹⁶⁰ H. M. Lee,¹⁶¹ H. W. Lee,¹⁵⁰ J. Lee,⁹⁴ K. Lee,⁴⁵ J. Lehmann,^{9,10} A. K. Lenon,³⁸ N. Leroy,²⁸ N. Letendre,³³ Y. Levin,⁶ A. Li,⁹² J. Li,⁸² K. J. L. Li,⁹² T. G. F. Li,⁹² X. Li,⁴⁷ F. Lin,⁶ F. Linde,^{162,36} S. D. Linker,¹³² T. B. Littenberg,¹⁶³ J. Liu,⁶⁵ X. Liu,²⁴ M. Llorens-Montegudo,²² R. K. L. Lo,^{92,1} L. T. London,¹⁴ A. Longo,^{164,165} M. Lorenzini,^{16,17} V. Lorette,¹⁶⁶ M. Lormand,⁷ G. Losurdo,²¹ J. D. Lough,^{9,10} C. O. Lousto,⁶¹ G. Lovelace,²⁷ M. E. Lower,¹⁶⁷ H. Lück,^{10,9} D. Lumaca,^{84,31} A. P. Lundgren,¹³⁹ R. Lynch,¹⁴ Y. Ma,⁴⁷ R. Macas,¹⁰⁵ S. Macfoy,²⁵ M. MacInnis,¹⁴ D. M. Macleod,¹⁰⁵ A. Macquet,⁶⁴ I. Magaña Hernandez,²⁴ F. Magaña-Sandoval,³⁰ R. M. Magee,⁸⁸ E. Majorana,³² I. Maksimovic,¹⁶⁶ A. Malik,⁵⁹ N. Man,⁶⁴ V. Mandic,⁴² V. Mangano,^{45,118,32} G. L. Mansell,^{46,14} M. Manske,²⁴ M. Mantovani,²⁹ M. Mapelli,^{52,53} F. Marchesoni,^{51,40} F. Marion,³³ S. Márka,¹⁰⁴ Z. Márka,¹⁰⁴ C. Markakis,¹⁹ A. S. Markosyan,⁵⁰ A. Markowitz,¹ E. Maros,¹ A. Marquina,¹⁰³ S. Marsat,²⁶ F. Martelli,^{62,63} I. W. Martin,⁴⁵ R. M. Martin,³⁵ V. Martinez,⁷⁸ D. V. Martynov,¹³ H. Masalehdan,¹³³ K. Mason,¹⁴ E. Massera,¹¹¹ A. Masserot,³³ T. J. Massinger,¹ M. Masso-Reid,⁴⁵ S. Mastrogiorganni,²⁶ A. Matas,⁷⁵ F. Matichard,^{1,14} L. Matone,¹⁰⁴ N. Mavalvala,¹⁴ J. J. McCann,⁶⁵ R. McCarthy,⁴⁶ D. E. McClelland,⁸ S. McCormick,⁷ L. McCuller,¹⁴ S. C. McGuire,¹⁶⁸ C. McIsaac,¹³⁹ J. McIver,¹ D. J. McManus,⁸ T. McRae,⁸ S. T. McWilliams,³⁸ D. Meacher,²⁴ G. D. Meadors,⁶ M. Mehmet,^{9,10} A. K. Mehta,¹⁸ J. Meidam,³⁶ E. Mejuto Villa,^{115,68} A. Melatos,⁹⁹ G. Mendell,⁴⁶ R. A. Mercer,²⁴ L. Mereni,²³ K. Merfeld,⁷¹ E. L. Merilh,⁴⁶ M. Merzougui,⁶⁴ S. Meshkov,¹ C. Messenger,⁴⁵ C. Messick,⁸⁸ F. Messina,^{43,44} R. Metzdrorff,⁷² P. M. Meyers,⁹⁹ F. Meylahn,^{9,10} A. Miani,^{116,117} H. Miao,¹³ C. Michel,²³ H. Middleton,⁹⁹ L. Milano,^{79,5} A. L. Miller,^{30,118,32} M. Millhouse,⁹⁹ J. C. Mills,¹⁰⁵ M. C. Milovich-Goff,¹³² O. Minazzoli,^{64,169} Y. Minenkov,³¹ A. Mishkin,³⁰ C. Mishra,¹⁷⁰ T. Mistry,¹¹¹ S. Mitra,³ V. P. Mitrofanov,⁶⁰ G. Mitselmakher,³⁰ R. Mittleman,¹⁴ G. Mo,⁹⁶ D. Moffa,¹²⁰ K. Mogushi,⁸⁵ S. R. P. Mohapatra,¹⁴ M. Molina-Ruiz,¹⁴⁰ M. Mondin,¹³² M. Montani,^{62,63} C. J. Moore,¹³ D. Moraru,⁴⁶ F. Morawski,⁵⁵ G. Moreno,⁴⁶ S. Morisaki,⁸¹ B. Mours,³³ C. M. Mow-Lowry,¹³ F. Muciaccia,^{118,32} Arunava Mukherjee,^{9,10} D. Mukherjee,²⁴ S. Mukherjee,¹⁰⁷ Subroto Mukherjee,¹¹⁰ N. Mukund,^{9,10,3} A. Mullavey,⁷ J. Munch,⁵⁶ E. A. Muñoz,⁴¹ M. Muratore,³⁴ P. G. Murray,⁴⁵ A. Nagar,^{87,126,171} I. Nardecchia,^{84,31} L. Naticchioni,^{118,32} R. K. Nayak,¹⁷² B. F. Neil,⁶⁵ J. Neilson,^{115,68} G. Nelemans,^{66,36} T. J. N. Nelson,⁷ M. Nery,^{9,10} A. Neunert,¹³⁶ L. Nevin,¹ K. Y. Ng,¹⁴ S. Ng,⁵⁶ C. Nguyen,²⁶ P. Nguyen,⁷¹ D. Nichols,^{141,36} S. A. Nichols,² S. Nissanke,^{141,36} F. Nocera,²⁹ C. North,¹⁰⁵ L. K. Nuttall,¹³⁹ M. Obergaulinger,^{22,173} J. Oberling,⁴⁶ B. D. O'Brien,³⁰ G. Oganesyan,^{16,17} G. H. Ogini,¹⁷⁴ J. J. Oh,¹⁵¹ S. H. Oh,¹⁵¹ F. Ohme,^{9,10} H. Ohta,⁸¹ M. A. Okada,¹⁵ M. Oliver,¹⁰⁰ P. Oppermann,^{9,10} Richard J. Oram,⁷ B. O'Reilly,⁷ R. G. Ormiston,⁴² L. F. Ortega,³⁰ R. O'Shaughnessy,⁶¹ S. Ossokine,⁷⁵ D. J. Ottaway,⁵⁶ H. Overmier,⁷ B. J. Owen,⁸³ A. E. Pace,⁸⁸ G. Pagano,^{20,21} M. A. Page,⁶⁵ G. Pagliaroli,^{16,17} A. Pai,¹²⁹ S. A. Pai,⁵⁹ J. R. Palamos,⁷¹ O. Palashov,¹⁴⁸ C. Palomba,³² H. Pan,⁸⁹ P. K. Panda,¹⁴³ P. T. H. Pang,^{92,36} C. Pankow,⁵⁷ F. Pannarale,^{118,32} B. C. Pant,⁵⁹ F. Paoletti,²¹ A. Paoli,²⁹ A. Parida,³ W. Parker,^{7,168} D. Pascucci,^{45,36} A. Pasqualetti,²⁹ R. Passaquieti,^{20,21} D. Passuello,²¹ M. Patil,¹⁵⁷ B. Patricelli,^{20,21} E. Payne,⁶ B. L. Pearlstone,⁴⁵ T. C. Pechsiri,³⁰ A. J. Pedersen,⁴¹ M. Pedraza,¹ R. Pedurand,^{23,175} A. Pele,⁷ S. Penn,¹⁷⁶ A. Perego,^{116,117} C. J. Perez,⁴⁶ C. Périgois,³³ A. Perreca,^{116,117} J. Petermann,¹³³ H. P. Pfeiffer,⁷⁵ M. Phelps,^{9,10} K. S. Phukon,³ O. J. Piccinni,^{118,32} M. Pichot,⁶⁴ F. Piergiovanni,^{62,63} V. Pierro,^{115,68} G. Pillant,²⁹ L. Pinard,²³ I. M. Pinto,^{115,68,87} M. Pirello,⁴⁶

M. Pitkin,⁴⁵ W. Plastino,^{164,165} R. Poggiani,^{20,21} D. Y. T. Pong,⁹² S. Ponrathnam,³ P. Popolizio,²⁹ E. K. Porter,²⁶ J. Powell,¹⁶⁷ A. K. Prajapati,¹¹⁰ J. Prasad,³ K. Prasai,⁵⁰ R. Prasanna,¹⁴³ G. Pratten,¹⁰⁰ T. Prestegard,²⁴ M. Principe,^{115,87,68} G. A. Prodi,^{116,117} L. Prokhorov,¹³ M. Punturo,⁴⁰ P. Puppo,³² M. Pürer,⁷⁵ H. Qi,¹⁰⁵ V. Quetschke,¹⁰⁷ P. J. Quinonez,³⁴ F. J. Raab,⁴⁶ G. Raaijmakers,^{141,36} H. Radkins,⁴⁶ N. Radulesco,⁶⁴ P. Raffai,¹⁰⁹ S. Raja,⁵⁹ C. Rajan,⁵⁹ B. Rajbhandari,⁸³ M. Rakhmanov,¹⁰⁷ K. E. Ramirez,¹⁰⁷ A. Ramos-Buades,¹⁰⁰ Javed Rana,³ K. Rao,⁵⁷ P. Rapagnani,^{118,32} V. Raymond,¹⁰⁵ M. Razzano,^{20,21} J. Read,²⁷ T. Regimbau,³³ L. Rei,⁵⁸ S. Reid,²⁵ D. H. Reitze,^{1,30} P. Rettengo,^{126,177} F. Ricci,^{118,32} C. J. Richardson,³⁴ J. W. Richardson,¹ P. M. Ricker,¹⁹ G. Riemenschneider,^{177,126} K. Riles,¹³⁶ M. Rizzo,⁵⁷ N. A. Robertson,^{1,45} F. Robinet,²⁸ A. Rocchi,³¹ L. Rolland,³³ J. G. Rollins,¹ V. J. Roma,⁷¹ M. Romanelli,⁷⁰ R. Romano,^{4,5} C. L. Romel,⁴⁶ J. H. Romie,⁷ C. A. Rose,²⁴ D. Rose,²⁷ K. Rose,¹²⁰ D. Rosińska,⁷³ S. G. Rosofsky,¹⁹ M. P. Ross,¹⁷⁸ S. Rowan,⁴⁵ A. Rüdiger,^{9,10,†} P. Ruggi,²⁹ G. Rutins,¹³¹ K. Ryan,⁴⁶ S. Sachdev,⁸⁸ T. Sadecki,⁴⁶ M. Sakellariadou,¹⁴⁵ O. S. Salafia,^{179,43,44} L. Salconi,²⁹ M. Saleem,¹⁵⁵ A. Samajdar,³⁶ L. Sammut,⁶ E. J. Sanchez,¹ L. E. Sanchez,¹ N. Sanchis-Gual,¹⁸⁰ J. R. Sanders,¹⁸¹ K. A. Santiago,³⁵ E. Santos,⁶⁴ N. Sarin,⁶ B. Sassolas,²³ P. R. Saulson,⁴¹ O. Sauter,^{136,33} R. L. Savage,⁴⁶ P. Schale,⁷¹ M. Scheel,⁴⁷ J. Scheuer,⁵⁷ P. Schmidt,^{13,66} R. Schnabel,¹³³ R. M. S. Schofield,⁷¹ A. Schönbeck,¹³³ E. Schreiber,^{9,10} B. W. Schulte,^{9,10} B. F. Schutz,¹⁰⁵ J. Scott,⁴⁵ S. M. Scott,⁸ E. Seidel,¹⁹ D. Sellers,⁷ A. S. Sengupta,¹⁸² N. Sennett,⁷⁵ D. Sentenac,²⁹ V. Sequino,⁵⁸ A. Sergeev,¹⁴⁸ Y. Setyawati,^{9,10} D. A. Shaddock,⁸ T. Shaffer,⁴⁶ M. S. Shahriar,⁵⁷ M. B. Shaner,¹³² A. Sharma,^{16,17} P. Sharma,⁵⁹ P. Shawhan,⁷⁶ H. Shen,¹⁹ R. Shink,¹⁸³ D. H. Shoemaker,¹⁴ D. M. Shoemaker,⁷⁷ K. Shukla,¹⁴⁰ S. ShyamSundar,⁵⁹ K. Siellez,⁷⁷ M. Sieniawska,⁵⁵ D. Sigg,⁴⁶ L. P. Singer,⁸⁰ D. Singh,⁸⁸ N. Singh,⁷³ A. Singhal,^{16,32} A. M. Sintes,¹⁰⁰ S. Sitmukhambetov,¹⁰⁷ V. Skliris,¹⁰⁵ B. J. J. Slagmolen,⁸ T. J. Slaven-Blair,⁶⁵ J. R. Smith,²⁷ R. J. E. Smith,⁶ S. Somala,¹⁸⁴ E. J. Son,¹⁵¹ S. Soni,² B. Sorazu,⁴⁵ F. Sorrentino,⁵⁸ T. Souradeep,³ E. Sowell,⁸³ A. P. Spencer,⁴⁵ M. Spera,^{52,53} A. K. Srivastava,¹¹⁰ V. Srivastava,⁴¹ K. Staats,⁵⁷ C. Stachie,⁶⁴ M. Standke,^{9,10} D. A. Steer,²⁶ M. Steinke,^{9,10} J. Steinlechner,^{133,45} S. Steinlechner,¹³³ D. Steinmeyer,^{9,10} S. P. Stevenson,¹⁶⁷ D. Stocks,⁵⁰ R. Stone,¹⁰⁷ D. J. Stops,¹³ K. A. Strain,⁴⁵ G. Stratta,^{185,63} S. E. Strigin,⁶⁰ A. Strunk,⁴⁶ R. Sturani,¹⁸⁶ A. L. Stuver,¹⁸⁷ V. Sudhir,¹⁴ T. Z. Summerscales,¹⁸⁸ L. Sun,¹ S. Sunil,¹¹⁰ A. Sur,⁵⁵ J. Suresh,⁸¹ P. J. Sutton,¹⁰⁵ B. L. Swinkels,³⁶ M. J. Szczepańczyk,³⁴ M. Tacca,³⁶ S. C. Tait,⁴⁵ C. Talbot,⁶ D. B. Tanner,³⁰ D. Tao,¹ M. Tápai,¹³⁰ A. Tapia,²⁷ J. D. Tasson,⁹⁶ R. Taylor,¹ R. Tenorio,¹⁰⁰ L. Terkowski,¹³³ M. Thomas,⁷ P. Thomas,⁴⁶ S. R. Thondapu,⁵⁹ K. A. Thorne,⁷ E. Thrane,⁶ Shubhanshu Tiwari,^{116,117} Srishti Tiwari,¹³⁴ V. Tiwari,¹⁰⁵ K. Toland,⁴⁵ M. Tonelli,^{20,21} Z. Tornasi,⁴⁵ A. Torres-Forné,¹⁸⁹ C. I. Torrie,¹ D. Töyrä,¹³ F. Travasso,^{29,40} G. Traylor,⁷ M. C. Tringali,⁷³ A. Tripathi,¹³⁶ A. Trovato,²⁶ L. Trozzo,^{190,21} K. W. Tsang,³⁶ M. Tse,¹⁴ R. Tso,⁴⁷ L. Tsukada,⁸¹ D. Tsuna,⁸¹ T. Tsutsui,⁸¹ D. Tuyenbayev,¹⁰⁷ K. Ueno,⁸¹ D. Ugolini,¹⁹¹ C. S. Unnikrishnan,¹³⁴ A. L. Urban,² S. A. Usman,⁹¹ H. Vahlbruch,¹⁰ G. Vajente,¹ G. Valdes,² M. Valentini,^{116,117} N. van Bakel,³⁶ M. van Beuzekom,³⁶ J. F. J. van den Brand,^{74,36} C. Van Den Broeck,^{36,192} D. C. Vander-Hyde,⁴¹ L. van der Schaaf,³⁶ J. V. VanHeijningen,⁶⁵ A. A. van Veggel,⁴⁵ M. Vardaro,^{52,53} V. Varma,⁴⁷ S. Vass,¹ M. Vasúth,⁴⁹ A. Vecchio,¹³ G. Vedovato,⁵³ J. Veitch,⁴⁵ P. J. Veitch,⁵⁶ K. Venkateswara,¹⁷⁸ G. Venugopalan,¹ D. Verkindt,³³ F. Vetrano,^{62,63} A. Vicere,^{62,63} A. D. Viets,²⁴ S. Vinciguerra,¹³ D. J. Vine,¹³¹ J.-Y. Vinet,⁶⁴ S. Vitale,¹⁴ T. Vo,⁴¹ H. Vocca,^{39,40} C. Vorvick,⁴⁶ S. P. Vyatchanin,⁶⁰ A. R. Wade,¹ L. E. Wade,¹²⁰ M. Wade,¹²⁰ R. Walet,³⁶ M. Walker,²⁷ L. Wallace,¹ S. Walsh,²⁴ H. Wang,¹³ J. Z. Wang,¹³⁶ S. Wang,¹⁹ W. H. Wang,¹⁰⁷ Y. F. Wang,⁹² R. L. Ward,⁸ Z. A. Warden,³⁴ J. Warner,⁴⁶ M. Was,³³ J. Watchi,¹⁰¹ B. Weaver,⁴⁶ L.-W. Wei,^{9,10} M. Weinert,^{9,10} A. J. Weinstein,¹ R. Weiss,¹⁴ F. Wellmann,^{9,10} L. Wen,⁶⁵ E. K. Wessel,¹⁹ P. Weßels,^{9,10} J. W. Westhouse,³⁴ K. Wette,⁸ J. T. Whelan,⁶¹ B. F. Whiting,³⁰ C. Whittle,¹⁴ D. M. Wilken,^{9,10} D. Williams,⁴⁵ A. R. Williamson,^{141,36} J. L. Willis,¹ B. Willke,^{10,9} W. Winkler,^{9,10} C. C. Wipf,¹ H. Wittel,^{9,10} G. Woan,⁴⁵ J. Woehler,^{9,10} J. K. Wofford,⁶¹ J. L. Wright,⁴⁵ D. S. Wu,^{9,10} D. M. Wysocki,⁶¹ S. Xiao,¹ R. Xu,¹⁰⁸ H. Yamamoto,¹ C. C. Yancey,⁷⁶ L. Yang,¹¹⁹ Y. Yang,³⁰ Z. Yang,⁴² M. J. Yap,⁸ M. Yazback,³⁰ D. W. Yeeles,¹⁰⁵ Hang Yu,¹⁴ Haocun Yu,¹⁴ S. H. R. Yuen,⁹² A. K. Zadrożny,¹⁰⁷ A. Zadrożny,¹⁵⁶ M. Zanolin,³⁴ T. Zelenova,²⁹ J.-P. Zendri,⁵³ M. Zevin,⁵⁷ J. Zhang,⁶⁵ L. Zhang,¹ T. Zhang,⁴⁵ C. Zhao,⁶⁵ G. Zhao,¹⁰¹ M. Zhou,⁵⁷ Z. Zhou,⁵⁷ X. J. Zhu,⁶ A. B. Zimmerman,¹⁹³ M. E. Zucker,^{1,14} and J. Zweigig¹

(LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration)[‡]

¹*LIGO, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125, USA*

²*Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, USA*

³*Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pune 411007, India*

⁴*Dipartimento di Farmacia, Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy*

⁵*INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Complesso Universitario di Monte Sant'Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy*

⁶*OzGrav, School of Physics & Astronomy, Monash University, Clayton 3800, Victoria, Australia*

- ⁷LIGO Livingston Observatory, Livingston, Louisiana 70754, USA
- ⁸OzGrav, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory 0200, Australia
- ⁹Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-30167 Hannover, Germany
- ¹⁰Leibniz Universität Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany
- ¹¹Theoretisch-Physikalisches Institut, Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, D-07743 Jena, Germany
- ¹²University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1TN, United Kingdom
- ¹³University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom
- ¹⁴LIGO, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA
- ¹⁵Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, 12227-010 São José dos Campos, São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹⁶Gran Sasso Science Institute (GSSI), I-67100 L'Aquila, Italy
- ¹⁷INFN, Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso, I-67100 Assergi, Italy
- ¹⁸International Centre for Theoretical Sciences, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bengaluru 560089, India
- ¹⁹NCSA, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
- ²⁰Università di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
- ²¹INFN, Sezione di Pisa, I-56127 Pisa, Italy
- ²²Departamento de Astronomía y Astrofísica, Universitat de València, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain
- ²³Laboratoire des Matériaux Avancés (LMA), CNRS/IN2P3, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- ²⁴University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53201, USA
- ²⁵SUPA, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XQ, United Kingdom
- ²⁶APC, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, Université Paris Diderot, CNRS/IN2P3, CEA/Irfu, Observatoire de Paris, Sorbonne Paris Cité, F-75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
- ²⁷California State University Fullerton, Fullerton, California 92831, USA
- ²⁸LAL, Univ. Paris-Sud, CNRS/IN2P3, Université Paris-Saclay, F-91898 Orsay, France
- ²⁹European Gravitational Observatory (EGO), I-56021 Cascina, Pisa, Italy
- ³⁰University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida 32611, USA
- ³¹INFN, Sezione di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy
- ³²INFN, Sezione di Roma, I-00185 Roma, Italy
- ³³Laboratoire d'Annecy de Physique des Particules (LAPP), Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS/IN2P3, F-74941 Annecy, France
- ³⁴Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Prescott, Arizona 86301, USA
- ³⁵Montclair State University, Montclair, New Jersey 07043, USA
- ³⁶Nikhef, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ³⁷Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon 34141, South Korea
- ³⁸West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia 26506, USA
- ³⁹Università di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ⁴⁰INFN, Sezione di Perugia, I-06123 Perugia, Italy
- ⁴¹Syracuse University, Syracuse, New York 13244, USA
- ⁴²University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA
- ⁴³Università degli Studi di Milano-Bicocca, I-20126 Milano, Italy
- ⁴⁴INFN, Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, I-20126 Milano, Italy
- ⁴⁵SUPA, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom
- ⁴⁶LIGO Hanford Observatory, Richland, Washington 99352, USA
- ⁴⁷Caltech CaRT, Pasadena, California 91125, USA
- ⁴⁸Dipartimento di Medicina, Chirurgia e Odontoiatria “Scuola Medica Salernitana,” Università di Salerno, I-84081 Baronissi, Salerno, Italy
- ⁴⁹Wigner RCP, RMKI, H-1121 Budapest, Konkoly Thege Miklós út 29-33, Hungary
- ⁵⁰Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, USA
- ⁵¹Università di Camerino, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-62032 Camerino, Italy
- ⁵²Università di Padova, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- ⁵³INFN, Sezione di Padova, I-35131 Padova, Italy
- ⁵⁴Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA
- ⁵⁵Nicolaus Copernicus Astronomical Center, Polish Academy of Sciences, 00-716, Warsaw, Poland
- ⁵⁶OzGrav, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia
- ⁵⁷Center for Interdisciplinary Exploration & Research in Astrophysics (CIERA), Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA
- ⁵⁸INFN, Sezione di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- ⁵⁹RRCAT, Indore, Madhya Pradesh 452013, India
- ⁶⁰Faculty of Physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow 119991, Russia
- ⁶¹Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, New York 14623, USA

- ⁶²Università degli Studi di Urbino “Carlo Bo”, I-61029 Urbino, Italy
- ⁶³INFN, Sezione di Firenze, I-50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze, Italy
- ⁶⁴Artemis, Université Côte d’Azur, Observatoire Côte d’Azur, CNRS, CS 34229, F-06304 Nice Cedex 4, France
- ⁶⁵OzGrav, University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia
- ⁶⁶Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University Nijmegen, P.O. Box 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands
- ⁶⁷Dipartimento di Fisica “E.R. Caianiello,” Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- ⁶⁸INFN, Sezione di Napoli, Gruppo Collegato di Salerno, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy
- ⁶⁹Physik-Institut, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
- ⁷⁰Univ Rennes, CNRS, Institut FOTON - UMR6082, F-3500 Rennes, France
- ⁷¹University of Oregon, Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA
- ⁷²Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Sorbonne Université, CNRS, ENS-Université PSL, Collège de France, F-75005 Paris, France
- ⁷³Astronomical Observatory Warsaw University, 00-478 Warsaw, Poland
- ⁷⁴VU University Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- ⁷⁵Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany
- ⁷⁶University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA
- ⁷⁷School of Physics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332, USA
- ⁷⁸Université de Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, Institut Lumière Matière, F-69622 Villeurbanne, France
- ⁷⁹Università di Napoli “Federico II”, Complesso Universitario di Monte S. Angelo, I-80126 Napoli, Italy
- ⁸⁰NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 20771, USA
- ⁸¹RESCEU, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, 113-0033, Japan
- ⁸²Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China
- ⁸³Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409, USA
- ⁸⁴Università di Roma Tor Vergata, I-00133 Roma, Italy
- ⁸⁵The University of Mississippi, University, Mississippi 38677, USA
- ⁸⁶Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409, USA
- ⁸⁷Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche “Enrico Fermi”, I-00184 Roma, Italy
- ⁸⁸The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802, USA
- ⁸⁹National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu City, 30013 Taiwan, Republic of China
- ⁹⁰Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales 2678, Australia
- ⁹¹University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois 60637, USA
- ⁹²The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Shatin, NT, Hong Kong
- ⁹³Dipartimento di Ingegneria Industriale (DIIN), Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy
- ⁹⁴Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, South Korea
- ⁹⁵Pusan National University, Busan 46241, South Korea
- ⁹⁶Carleton College, Northfield, Minnesota 55057, USA
- ⁹⁷INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, I-35122 Padova, Italy
- ⁹⁸Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Genova, I-16146 Genova, Italy
- ⁹⁹OzGrav, University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria 3010, Australia
- ¹⁰⁰Universitat de les Illes Balears, IAC3—IEEC, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain
- ¹⁰¹Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels 1050, Belgium
- ¹⁰²Sonoma State University, Rohnert Park, California 94928, USA
- ¹⁰³Departamento de Matemáticas, Universitat de València, E-46100 Burjassot, València, Spain
- ¹⁰⁴Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, USA
- ¹⁰⁵Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24 3AA, United Kingdom
- ¹⁰⁶University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, USA
- ¹⁰⁷The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, Brownsville, Texas 78520, USA
- ¹⁰⁸Bellevue College, Bellevue, Washington 98007, USA
- ¹⁰⁹MTA-ELTE Astrophysics Research Group, Institute of Physics, Eötvös University, Budapest 1117, Hungary
- ¹¹⁰Institute for Plasma Research, Bhat, Gandhinagar 382428, India
- ¹¹¹The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, United Kingdom
- ¹¹²IGFAE, Campus Sur, Universidade de Santiago de Compostela, 15782 Spain
- ¹¹³Dipartimento di Scienze Matematiche, Fisiche e Informatiche, Università di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy

- ¹¹⁴*INFN, Sezione di Milano Bicocca, Gruppo Collegato di Parma, I-43124 Parma, Italy*
- ¹¹⁵*Dipartimento di Ingegneria, Università del Sannio, I-82100 Benevento, Italy*
- ¹¹⁶*Università di Trento, Dipartimento di Fisica, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy*
- ¹¹⁷*INFN, Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, I-38123 Povo, Trento, Italy*
- ¹¹⁸*Università di Roma “La Sapienza”, I-00185 Roma, Italy*
- ¹¹⁹*Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523, USA*
- ¹²⁰*Kenyon College, Gambier, Ohio 43022, USA*
- ¹²¹*Christopher Newport University, Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA*
- ¹²²*CNR-SPIN, c/o Università di Salerno, I-84084 Fisciano, Salerno, Italy*
- ¹²³*Scuola di Ingegneria, Università della Basilicata, I-85100 Potenza, Italy*
- ¹²⁴*National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo 181-8588, Japan*
- ¹²⁵*Observatori Astronòmic, Universitat de València, E-46980 Paterna, València, Spain*
- ¹²⁶*INFN Sezione di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy*
- ¹²⁷*School of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, United Kingdom*
- ¹²⁸*Institute of Advanced Research, Gandhinagar 382426, India*
- ¹²⁹*Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India*
- ¹³⁰*University of Szeged, Dóm tér 9, Szeged 6720, Hungary*
- ¹³¹*SUPA, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley PA1 2BE, United Kingdom*
- ¹³²*California State University, Los Angeles, 5151 State University Drive, Los Angeles, California 90032, USA*
- ¹³³*Universität Hamburg, D-22761 Hamburg, Germany*
- ¹³⁴*Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005, India*
- ¹³⁵*INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, I-80131 Napoli, Italy*
- ¹³⁶*University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA*
- ¹³⁷*Washington State University, Pullman, Washington 99164, USA*
- ¹³⁸*American University, Washington, D.C. 20016, USA*
- ¹³⁹*University of Portsmouth, Portsmouth, PO1 3FX, United Kingdom*
- ¹⁴⁰*University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA*
- ¹⁴¹*GRAPPA, Anton Pannekoek Institute for Astronomy and Institute for High-Energy Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
- ¹⁴²*Delta Institute for Theoretical Physics, Science Park 904, 1090 GL Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
- ¹⁴³*Directorate of Construction, Services & Estate Management, Mumbai 400094, India*
- ¹⁴⁴*University of Białystok, 15-424 Białystok, Poland*
- ¹⁴⁵*King’s College London, University of London, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom*
- ¹⁴⁶*University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom*
- ¹⁴⁷*University of Washington Bothell, Bothell, Washington 98011, USA*
- ¹⁴⁸*Institute of Applied Physics, Nizhny Novgorod, 603950, Russia*
- ¹⁴⁹*Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, South Korea*
- ¹⁵⁰*Inje University Gimhae, South Gyeongsang 50834, South Korea*
- ¹⁵¹*National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Daejeon 34047, South Korea*
- ¹⁵²*Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan 44919, South Korea*
- ¹⁵³*Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands*
- ¹⁵⁴*Bard College, 30 Campus Road, Annandale-On-Hudson, New York 12504, USA*
- ¹⁵⁵*Chennai Mathematical Institute, Chennai 603103, India*
- ¹⁵⁶*NCBJ, 05-400 Świerk-Otwock, Poland*
- ¹⁵⁷*Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 00656 Warsaw, Poland*
- ¹⁵⁸*Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14850, USA*
- ¹⁵⁹*Hillsdale College, Hillsdale, Michigan 49242, USA*
- ¹⁶⁰*Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, South Korea*
- ¹⁶¹*Korea Astronomy and Space Science Institute, Daejeon 34055, South Korea*
- ¹⁶²*Institute for High-Energy Physics, University of Amsterdam, Science Park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, The Netherlands*
- ¹⁶³*NASA Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, Alabama 35811, USA*
- ¹⁶⁴*Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università degli Studi Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma, Italy*
- ¹⁶⁵*INFN, Sezione di Roma Tre, I-00146 Roma, Italy*
- ¹⁶⁶*ESPCI, CNRS, F-75005 Paris, France*
- ¹⁶⁷*OzGrav, Swinburne University of Technology, Hawthorn VIC 3122, Australia*
- ¹⁶⁸*Southern University and A&M College, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70813, USA*
- ¹⁶⁹*Centre Scientifique de Monaco, 8 quai Antoine 1er, MC-98000, Monaco*
- ¹⁷⁰*Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India*

- ¹⁷¹*Institut des Hautes Etudes Scientifiques, F-91440 Bures-sur-Yvette, France*
¹⁷²*IISER-Kolkata, Mohanpur, West Bengal 741252, India*
¹⁷³*Institut für Kernphysik, Theoriezentrum, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany*
¹⁷⁴*Whitman College, 345 Boyer Avenue, Walla Walla, Washington 99362 USA*
¹⁷⁵*Université de Lyon, F-69361 Lyon, France*
¹⁷⁶*Hobart and William Smith Colleges, Geneva, New York 14456, USA*
¹⁷⁷*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Torino, I-10125 Torino, Italy*
¹⁷⁸*University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA*
¹⁷⁹*INAF, Osservatorio Astronomico di Brera sede di Merate, I-23807 Merate, Lecco, Italy*
¹⁸⁰*Centro de Astrofísica e Gravitação (CENTRA), Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*
¹⁸¹*Marquette University, 11420 West Clybourn Street, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233, USA*
¹⁸²*Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar Ahmedabad Gujarat 382424, India*
¹⁸³*Université de Montréal/Polytechnique, Montreal, Quebec H3T 1J4, Canada*
¹⁸⁴*Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Sangareddy, Khandi, Telangana 502285, India*
¹⁸⁵*INAF, Osservatorio di Astrofisica e Scienza dello Spazio, I-40129 Bologna, Italy*
¹⁸⁶*International Institute of Physics, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte, Natal RN 59078-970, Brazil*
¹⁸⁷*Villanova University, 800 Lancaster Avenue, Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085, USA*
¹⁸⁸*Andrews University, Berrien Springs, Michigan 49104, USA*
¹⁸⁹*Max Planck Institute for Gravitationalphysik (Albert Einstein Institute), D-14476 Potsdam-Golm, Germany*
¹⁹⁰*Università di Siena, I-53100 Siena, Italy*
¹⁹¹*Trinity University, San Antonio, Texas 78212, USA*
¹⁹²*Van Swinderen Institute for Particle Physics and Gravity, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands*
¹⁹³*Department of Physics, University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712, USA*

[†]Deceased.

^{*}lsc-spokesperson@ligo.org; virgo-spokesperson@ego-gw.